
From: Englert, Jessica M [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A33C0786F7264AA7B1B51432EF5A2415-JMENGLERT]
Sent: 8/10/2017 9:29:16 AM
To: Miller, Anderson [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=6aa06c2170574076afa0a06adab860ea-amiller20]
Subject: RE: S16

Thanks John. I'm trying to track down Jeremy first before we call Annette, but I'd definitely like to speak to her today. Could you clarify what ACP is in Mary's notes below?

From: Miller, Anderson
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 9:26 AM
To: Englert, Jessica M <jessica.englert@nc.gov>
Subject: FW: S16

Hey Jess,

Happy Friday Eve! I'm not sure if Andy has been in touch with you regarding this as well (and apologies this didn't get to you last night) but just wanted to provide some additional comments from the Department regarding S16. Annette Lucas is still available to discuss the stormwater provisions if you and Jeremy wish. Please let me know if there is anything further that I can help with.

Best,

John

John Lucey
Legislative Assistant
Legislative Affairs Division
North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality

(919) 707-8668
john.lucey@ncdenr.gov

217 West Jones Street
Office 5430A
Raleigh, NC 27604

1601 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699



 Nothing Compares

Email correspondence to and from this address is subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Kelley, Mary P
Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2017 6:38 PM
To: Lucey, John D <john.lucey@ncdenr.gov>
Cc: Miller, Anderson <anderson.miller@ncdenr.gov>; Mundt, Jennifer <Jennifer.Mundt@ncdenr.gov>
Subject: RE: S16

Now that stormwater has been clarified, the issues that raise flags for me are

- Section 6 where the EMC is to ID, review and assess reports by DEQ that are required by NCGA because it sets up duplication of effort.
- Section 12 where it clearly allows for private condemnation for ACP because ACP is such a hot topic that the grant of sovereign powers is likely to generate some controversy.

From: Lucey, John D
Sent: Monday, August 07, 2017 4:20 PM
To: Kelley, Mary P <Mary.Kelley@ncdenr.gov>
Subject: S16

Hi MPK,

I know this was discussed during this morning's meeting but Andy and I were incorrect in our original statement that the Governor would have the full 30 days to veto legislation. We had a meeting with the Governor's legislative team today and were told that it is only 10 days. I know you mentioned that there were some concerns with provisions in S16 and the Governor's staff has asked if we could get any and all comments to them by COB Wednesday. Sorry for the last minute ask of you!

If there are any questions or concerns that I can help with, please do not hesitate to let me know.

Best,

John

John Lucey
Legislative Assistant
Legislative Affairs Division
North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality

(919) 707-8668
john.lucey@ncdenr.gov

217 West Jones Street
Office 5430A
Raleigh, NC 27604

1601 Mail Service Center

Raleigh, NC 27699



 Nothing Compares 

*Email correspondence to and from this address is subject to the
North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.*